

## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

	3	ERIAL HULTBER FLOOR DATE FIRST NAMES IN ENTES		
				ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
		/702,615 05/17/91 BAINES	R	F-8913(859-1
				EXAMINER
OSTROLENK, FABER, GERB & SOFFEN 1180 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS NEW YORK, NY 10036-8403			SKUDY.R	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2102	9
DATE MAILED:  10.1.1.4 COMMUNICATION from the examiner in charge of your application.				04/14/92
COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS				
□ This application has been examined □ This action is made final				
This action is filed final.				
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire month(s), days from the date of this letter.				
Failure to respond within the period for response will cause the application to become abandoned. 35 U.S.C. 133				
Part	_	THE FOLLOWING ATTACHMENT(8) ARE PART OF THIS ACTION:		
1.	X	Notice of References Cited by Examiner, PTO-892.  Notice of Art Cited by Applicant, PTO-1449.  Notice of Art Cited by Applicant, PTO-1449.		
		Information on How to Effect Drawing Changes, PTO-1474.	ormal Patent Appl	ication, Form PTO-152.
Part II SUMMARY OF ACTION				
		1 7		
1.	X	Claims		are pending in the application.
Of the above, claims are withdrawn from consideration.				
•	1xt	7_ 7		
-		- Columb		have been cancelled.
3.		Claims		_ are allowed.
4.	×	Claims 4-7		are rejected.
5.		Claims		are chiacted to
_				-
6.	Ц	Claims are s	subject to restriction	on or election requirement.
7.		This application has been filed with informal drawings under 37 C.F.R. 1.85 which are a	cceptable for exar	nination purposes.
8.		Formal drawings are required in response to this Office action.		
9.		The corrected or substitute drawings have been received on	Under 37 C.F	F.R. 1.84 these drawings
		are $\square$ acceptable. $\square$ not acceptable (see explanation or Notice re Patent Drawing,	PTO-948).	•
10.		The proposed additional or substitute sheet(s) of drawings, filed on	has (have) been	approved by the
		examiner.   disapproved by the examiner (see explanation).		
11.		The proposed drawing correction, filed on, has been approv	ed. 🗆 disapprov	red (see explanation).
12.		Acknowledgment is made of the claim for priority under U.S.C. 119. The certified copy is	as 🗆 been rece	eived  not been received
		been filed in parent application, serial no; filed on		
13.		Since this application appears to be in condition for allowance except for formal matters	3. Orosecution so t	o the merits is closed in
		accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.	-, Freedord (IO) (I	o mo mento la ciuseu III
14.		Other		